

Article

Subscriber access provided by American Chemical Society

## Predicting the Stereochemistry of Diphenylphosphino Benzoic Acid (DPPBA)-Based Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation Reactions: A Working Model

Barry M. Trost, Michelle R. Machacek, and Aaron Aponick

Acc. Chem. Res., 2006, 39 (10), 747-760• DOI: 10.1021/ar040063c • Publication Date (Web): 26 July 2006 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 2, 2009

## More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 11 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML



# Predicting the Stereochemistry of Diphenylphosphino Benzoic Acid (DPPBA)-Based Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation Reactions: A Working Model

BARRY M. TROST,\* MICHELLE R. MACHACEK, AND AARON APONICK Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-5080

Received February 2, 2006

#### ABSTRACT

Palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation has proven to be a powerful method for the preparation of a wide variety of chiral compounds and the rapid assembly of complex molecular architecture from simple starting materials. While many types of catalyst systems have been successfully employed with certain systems, diphenylphosphino benzoic acid (DPPBA) based ligands have found use over a broad range of substrate classes. This Account highlights the mechanistic aspects considered when designing reactions with DPPBA-based ligands and presents a working model for the a priori prediction of their stereochemical outcome.

## Introduction

Palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation (Pd AAA) has been demonstrated to be an extremely powerful method for asymmetric synthesis.<sup>1</sup> Asymmetric allylic allylation

Barry M. Trost obtained his B.A. in 1962 at the University of Pennsylvania and a Ph.D. degree 3 years later at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1965); afterward, he directly moved to the University of Wisconsin, where he was promoted to Professor of Chemistry in 1969 and subsequently became the Vilas Research Professor in 1982. He joined the faculty at Stanford as Professor of Chemistry in 1987 and became Tamaki Professor of Humanities and Sciences in 1990. In recognition of his many contributions, Professor Trost has received a number of awards, representative of which are the ACS Award in Pure Chemistry (1977), the ACS Award for Creative Work in Synthetic Organic Chemistry (1981), Guenther Award in the Chemistry of Essential Oils and Related Products (1990), the Dr. Paul Janssen Prize (1990), the ACS Roger Adams Award (1995), the Presidential Green Chemistry Challenge Award (1998), the Herbert C. Brown Award for Creative Research in Synthetic Methods (1999), the Yamada Prize (2001), the ACS Cope Award (2004), and The John Scott Award (2004). Professor Trost has been elected a fellow of the American Academy of Sciences (1992) and a member of the National Academy of Sciences (1990). He has published 2 books and over 780 scientific articles.

Michelle R. Machacek received a B.S. in chemistry from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1998, where she worked under the direction of Timothy Swager. She then went on to Stanford University, where she completed a Ph.D. in chemistry with Barry Trost. She is currently a Senior Research Chemist at the Merck Research Labs in Boston, MA.

Aaron Aponick received a B.S. in chemistry from Lebanon Valley College in 1998 and a Ph.D. from the University of Michigan in 2003, where he was an Eastman Kodak Fellow and an ACS Division of Organic Chemistry Fellow. He is currently an NIH Postdoctoral Fellow at Stanford University and will join the faculty at the University of Florida in 2006.

10.1021/ar040063c CCC: \$33.50  $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$  2006 American Chemical Society Published on Web 07/26/2006  $\,$ 







distinguishes itself from other catalytic methods such as hydrogenation and oxidation in its ability to form multiple types of bonds such as C–C, C–O, C–S, C–N, and C–H. When this versatility is coupled with both wide functional group tolerance and mild reaction conditions, the potential of this process is clear.

Most metal-catalyzed asymmetric reactions derive their selectivity by differentiation of the enantiotopic  $\pi$  faces of an unsaturated system. In contrast, Pd AAA has multiple mechanisms for enantiodiscrimination. Fortunately, a great deal of work has been devoted to developing a mechanistic understanding of diphenylphosphino benzoic acid (DPPBA) based Pd AAA.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, the Trost group has coupled this mechanistic insight with a working model for predicting the stereochemical outcome of allylation reactions using a family of C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric ligands.<sup>2a,b</sup> This Account will cover the issues inherent in devising a successful Pd AAA. The reaction mechanism and the basis for enantio-discrimination will be discussed in the context of asymmetric induction using the family of C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric ligands that have been developed in our laboratories (Figure 1).

## Mechanistic Considerations of Allylic Alkylation

Each step of the catalytic cycle illustrated in Figure 2 is an opportunity for inducing asymmetry. For example, the

 $<sup>\</sup>ast$  To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: (+1) 650-725-0002. E-mail: bmtrost@stanford.edu.



initial complexation step is reversible, and therefore, palladium can interconvert between the  $\pi$  faces of the olefin. Thorough mechanistic work has been done to demonstrate that the ionization of the resulting palladium-olefin complex occurs with inversion of stereochemistry.<sup>3</sup> One can think of this step as an S<sub>N</sub>2-like displacement of the leaving group by the incoming palladium "nucleophile".<sup>3</sup> Structurally, the  $\pi$ -allylpalladium intermediate is a square planar 16-electron complex consisting of ligands and a coordinated allyl unit.<sup>4</sup> The substituents on the allyl unit are defined as syn (R syn to the substituent on C2 of the allyl) and anti (R anti to the substituent on C<sub>2</sub>, Figure 3). X-ray structures indicate that the coordinated allyl deviates from the perpendicular by approximately 20°, placing the allyl termini closer to the palladium center.5 In consequence, the anti substituents cant toward palladium, putting them closer to the metal center, while the syn substituents cant away.

These  $\eta^3$ -bound  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complexes are in equilibrium with the corresponding  $\eta^1$  derivatives (Figure 3), a process whose rate is increased in the presence of an external ligand such as a halide.<sup>6</sup> If a  $\sigma$  complex forms at a monosubstituted allyl terminus, rotating 180° about the  $\sigma$  bond and rehybridization results in a  $\pi$ -facial exchange of the coordinated allyl (path A). If the  $\sigma$  complex forms at a disubstituted allyl terminus, the same process leads to both facial interconversion and exchange of the stereochemistry at that center from syn to anti (path B). Faller et al. found that the rate of  $\sigma$  complex formation is influenced by the allyl substitution pattern<sup>7</sup> and steric effects dictate that substitution at the allyl terminus slows their formation.8 This syn, anti isomerization leads to the question of what the reactive conformation is. While cyclic substrates are locked in an anti, anti conformation, acyclic substrates can freely equilibrate. With bisphosphine ligands, the syn complex is generally lower in energy. The Curtin-Hammet principle dictates that under equilibrating conditions either isomer may be the reactive species. In general, the syn complex is typically thought of as the reactive intermediate when applicable (vide infra).9,10

Because nucleophilic attack is the microscopic reverse of ionization, similar principles govern this step of the catalytic cycle. The addition of stabilized nucleophiles (p $K_a$ < 20) occurs with inversion of stereochemistry. This step is also considered to be  $S_N2$ -like with Pd<sup>II</sup> displaced. To ensure an antiperiplanar trajectory, the nucleophile approaches in an *exo* sense (Figure 4).<sup>2a,11</sup>

<180

Nu

endo approach

In general,  $\pi$ -allylmetals prefer addition at the less hindered carbon to minimize steric interactions with the nucleophile;<sup>12</sup> however, this preference can be modulated by the sterics and electronics of the complex.<sup>13</sup> Considering sterics, bulky ligands tend to favor addition at the more substituted allyl terminus to minimize interactions between the ligands and the coordinated olefin resulting (path A in Figure 5). Considering electronics, good  $\pi$ -acid ligands increase the cationic character of the allyl through back-bonding. The increased cationic character is more stable at the substituted allyl terminus and directs nucleophilic addition to that carbon (path C in Figure 5). Furthermore, Pd<sup>0</sup> is electron-rich and prefers coordination with more electron-poor olefins, again favoring the addition to the more substituted allyl terminus. In contrast, reactions involving sterically small,  $\sigma$ -donating ligands and metals in high oxidation states have opposite steric and electronic interactions and as such promote addition at the less substituted terminus.

## **Ligand Design for AAA**

Nu

exo approach

FIGURE 4. Exo approach of the nucleophile.

Because ionization and nucleophilic attack occur in an antiperiplanar fashion, both bond breaking and making events occur outside the coordination sphere of the metal and thus on the opposite face from the chirality control element. Despite this potential difficulty, a wide variety of chiral ligands have been effectively employed.

We have reported the successful application of bidentate DPPBA-based ligands.<sup>1</sup> Many other classes have also shown excellent selectivities in AAA reactions. C<sub>2</sub>-Symmetric diamine ligands<sup>14</sup> and bisoxazoline ligands<sup>15</sup> have

#### **Ligand Sterics**



#### FIGURE 6. Classes of electrophiles for Pd AAA.

both shown good enantioinduction. Hayashi et al. have successfully employed ferrocenyl-based ligands employing a chiral arm scaffold to extend the chiral environment to the approaching nucleophile.<sup>16</sup> Although less general in scope, ferrocenyl ligands such as Josiphos also impart excellent selectivity in certain cases.<sup>17</sup> Similarly P,S-<sup>18</sup> and P,N-based<sup>19</sup> ligands use an electronically differentiated bidentate scaffold to induce enantioselectivity with certain substrate classes. Still other ligand classes have been investigated.<sup>20</sup>

## A Working Model for Enantioselective Allylic Alkylation

Given the mechanism of Pd AAA, there are two possible enantiodetermining steps: ionization of the leaving group and nucleophilic addition. If the rate of equilibration of diastereomeric  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complexes is slower than the rate of nucleophilic addition, ionization is enantiodetermining.<sup>21</sup> On the other hand, if the rate of equilibration is faster than nucleophilic addition, the stereochemical outcome is determined by which  $\pi$ -allyl reacts with the nucleophile,<sup>21</sup> and the addition is enantiodetermining. Within both categories, there are subclasses depending upon the structure of the substrate being investigated (Figure 6). Type **A** represents *meso* substrates. The catalyst differentiates two enantiotopic leaving groups in the ionization step. Type **B**, also an achiral compound, represents differentiation of enantiotopic leaving groups on the same carbon center. In type **D**, ionization of a chiral compound results in a pseudo-*meso*  $\pi$ -allyl intermediate, and thus, differentiation of enantiotopic termini during nucleophilic attack determines the product stereochemistry. Both mechanisms can apply to the simple unsymmetrical  $\pi$ -allyl intermediates resulting from ionization of **C** and **E**. Generally, these types of substrates fall into the second category, but in some cases, ionization can be enantiodetermining.

Using DPPBA-based ligands in Pd AAA reactions, the Trost group noticed a correlation between ligand and product stereochemistry that evolved into a mnemonic.<sup>22</sup> Considering a Newman projection along the carbons linking the chiral backbone to the amide nitrogens, the disposition of the phosphines are defined as clockwise or counterclockwise (Figure 7). When oriented as depicted, using the (*S*,*S*)-ligand, movement of the catalyst in a



FIGURE 7. Mnemonic.



**FIGURE 8.** Cartoon model to describe the Pd-ligand complex.

clockwise motion with respect to the substrate is expected during ionization. Because nucleophilic addition is the microscopic reverse of this process, a counterclockwise motion results. When kinetic capture of the initially formed  $\pi$ -allyl intermediate is operating, ionization predicts the stereochemistry. When the addition is enantio-determining, equilibration of the  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complex followed by addition predicts the stereochemistry. When reactions were designed, there were examples where this simplistic representation led to incorrect predictions. A model that more accurately depicted the palladium–ligand complex was necessary.

Using a ground-state minimized conformation and assuming a 1:1 Pd/(R,R)-standard ligand ratio, a simplified cartoon model of the chiral pocket was developed (Figure 8).<sup>2a,c</sup> While the cartoon depicts the ligand in a C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric fashion in the allyl complex, the existing structural data suggest that it does not coordinate in a C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric manner.<sup>2c,23</sup> These data demonstrate that  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complexes of the DPPBA-based ligands

equilibrium being governed by the catalyst concentration, temperature, and catalyst counterion.<sup>2c</sup> The cartoon thus considers only a time-averaged monomeric species in which the ligand coordinates in a C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric manner. Characteristic of the bisdiphenylphosphine ligands, the phenyl groups sit in a propeller-like arrangement to minimize interactions with each other. In this conformation, two phenyl "walls" are approximately perpendicular to the plane of the allyl unit and two "flaps" sit parallel to the plane. As illustrated in the model, these ligands create a chiral environment where the back right and front left quadrants are effectively blocked. Because exo ionization and nucleophilic addition are preferred by stereoelectronics, attack from the rear quadrants is unfavorable. The two front quadrants are differentiated on the basis of sterics. When leaving groups are ionized to a  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complex, to minimize contact with the ligand, they prefer to depart from under the front right quadrant (path B) rather than the front left quadrant, which is blocked by the phenyl "wall" (path A). Similarly, nucleophiles prefer to attack the complex from the open front right quadrant rather than from the blocked left quadrant. Ionizations and additions following path B are denoted "matched", whereas those following path A are denoted "mismatched".

are in equilibrium with oligomeric species, with the

Because AAA is an exothermic process, the Hammond postulate indicates that the transition state should resemble the  $\pi$ -allyl. The cartoon then approximates the transition states for ionization and nucleophilic addition and permits the comparison of transition states, allowing this model to become a qualitative *predictive tool*.

## **Using the Working Model To Predict AAAs**

**Ionization as Enantiodetermining: Desymmetrization of** *meso* **Diester (Class A).** Pd AAA reactions of class **A** substrates rely on the differentiation of enantiotopic

Scheme 1. Furanoside Synthesis



with 1 eq. NEt<sub>3</sub>: 85%y, >99% ee

leaving groups during ionization as the enantiodetermining step of the catalytic cycle. Matched *exo* ionization of **2** from under the front right flap of the (*R*,*R*)-standard ligand expels the *pro-R* benzoate.<sup>24</sup> Fast trapping of the resulting  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complex via a matched nucleophilic addition results in an excellent yield and enantioselectivity for **3** (Scheme 1). Phase-transfer conditions were utilized to increase the solubility of the anionic nucleophile, thus increasing the rate of nucleophilic attack onto the kinetically formed  $\pi$ -allyl species. The absolute stereochemistry was determined to be (*R*) at the newly formed stereocenter, as the working model predicts.

The importance of fast nucleophilic attack with class A substrates is further illustrated with bisisocyanate 8. When the reaction is performed under similar conditions as for 2, 85% enantiomeric excess (ee) is obtained. The principal difference is that after matched ionization of the *pro-R* isocyanate, the tethered nucleophile is forced to undergo a mismatched nucleophilic attack (Scheme 2).<sup>25</sup> Furthermore, the tethered isocyanate nucleophile must be deprotonated before it can cyclize. Because only the ionized leaving group can function as a base, the rates of intramolecular nucleophilic attack and recombination of the isocyanate anion become competitive, thereby degrading the ee, which is based on an initial kinetic preference to ionize one of the prochiral isocyanates. Indeed, the addition of exogenous Et<sub>3</sub>N to deprotonate the tethered nucleophile increases the rate of cyclization compared to the reverse reaction and the ee to 99%.

This concept has important ramifications for all desymmetrizations. In the reaction using **2**, better selectivity was seen using benzoate and carbonate leaving groups instead of acetate. Because acetate is a better nucleophile, the rate of the reverse reaction becomes competitive with nucleophilic capture. Both choices of leaving group and pH of the media can have a direct impact on the selectivity of desymmetrization reactions.

**Ionization of Prochiral Leaving Groups (Class B).** The second substrate class that uses ionization as the enantiodiscriminating event involves the desymmetrization of prochiral groups on the same carbon (e.g., **11**). The catalyst must differentiate *both* the prochiral leaving groups and the  $\pi$  faces of the olefin.<sup>26</sup> Using the (*R*,*R*)-standard ligand, the  $\pi$  faces are differentiated by placing the *gem*-diacetate carbon under the ligand flap. Ionization of the *pro-R* acetate results in the syn,anti complex **12**, whereas ionization of the *pro-S* results in the preferred syn,syn complex **13**. Nucleophilic attack onto this diastereomer gives **14** with (*S*) stereochemistry (Scheme 3).

As with previous desymmetrization reactions, increasing the rate of trapping of the initially formed allyl complex leads to higher enantioselectivity. Including a phasetransfer catalyst in the biphasic media significantly increased the rate of trapping and thus the enantioselectivity of **14**. While employing homogeneous conditions with an organic solvent, increasing the equivalents of the nucleophile has the same effect (Scheme 4). Conversely, adding tetrahexylammonium bromide, which increases  $\pi - \sigma - \pi$ equilibration, significantly decreases the enantioselectivity.

The inherent difference between class **A** and **B** substrates is the ability of class **B** substrates to undergo  $\pi - \sigma - \pi$ interconversion, with the basis for good selectivity relying





1 eq 28%y, 51%ee

Scheme 5. Intramolecular Trapping of the Kinetically Formed  $\pi$ -Allyl Complex



on a preference for direct ionization to the syn,syn complexes followed by rapid capture by the nucleophile.

**Ionization to Unsymmetrical**  $\pi$ **-Allyl (Class C).** The last substrate class where ionization may represent the enantiodetermining step is a simple unsymmetrical  $\pi$ -allyl complex, class **C**. Normally these substrates fall into this category; however, when a stabilized nucleophile is tethered to the  $\pi$ -allylpalladium, the kinetically generated complex can sometimes be trapped before equilibration (Scheme 5).<sup>27</sup> By tethering the nucleophile and using conditions where sulfonamide **20** is fully deprotonated, the rate of mismatched attack is faster than equilibration. Using these guidelines, the working model correctly

predicts the observed (*S*) stereochemistry from the (R,R)ligand. Again, reaction conditions that favor rapid nucleophilic addition give the highest enantioselectivities. Forming five- and six-membered rings works best, whereas seven-membered rings form with low yield and ee. Furthermore, for the reasons stated above, adding chloride ion dramatically decreases the enantioselectivity.

The nature of the nucleophile is also very important for high selectivity in these reactions.<sup>2a</sup> Using the hard/ soft—acid/base theory, "soft" nucleophiles react faster with  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complexes. With hard nucleophiles, the rate of trapping can become competitive with or slower than allyl interconversion. Alcohols are notoriously poor Scheme 6. Intramolecular Attack onto a More Reactive  $\pi$ -Allyl Complex







nucleophiles for Pd AAA.<sup>28</sup> For example, when a simple alcohol substrate such as **23** is cyclized, the resulting stereochemistry switches to (*R*) (Scheme 6) because the rate of addition is slower than equilibration of the  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complexes. Matched nucleophilic attack then leads to **26**. Supporting this notion is the fact that the slower rate of cyclization to pyrans indeed increases enantioselectivity.

When reactions are designed for class **C** substrates, a careful selection of both the substrate and reaction conditions will be required to bias the system toward the

type of enantiodiscrimination desired. For example, using a much more reactive phenoxide as an oxygen nucleophile in a cyclization required employing acetic acid rather than simple base to ensure that the rate of equilibration was faster than nucleophilic attack and thus provide the product in high ee.<sup>29</sup>

Nucleophilic Addition as Enantiodetermining. Because  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complexes have a mechanism by which they can undergo isomerization, chiral racemic substrates (substrate classes **D** and **E**, Figure 6) can



FIGURE 9. Racemization of butenolide allyl.

potentially be fully converted into enantiopure materials in this second major mechanistic category. This type of process, wherein both enantiomers of starting material are converted to one enantiomer of product, is denoted a dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation (DYKAT but is frequently referred to as dynamic kinetic resolution or DKR, which is an inaccurate description of the phenomenon). Unlike a kinetic resolution where the theoretical yield is 50%, a DYKAT allows 100% conversion. The catalyst must effectively become equivalent to a C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric structure by rapid equilibration between its non-C<sub>2</sub>symmetric instantaneous structures and/or between oligomers and monomers. Because nucleophilic addition is enantiodetermining, if it is too fast, such equilibration may become too slow and also lead to lower selectivities.

Nucleophilic Addition to a Pseudo-*meso*  $\pi$ -Allyl Complex (Class D). The simplest type of DYKAT occurs when ionization of the leaving group results in a *meso*-like  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complex. This concept is illustrated by deracemization of conduritol B tetracarboxylate. With racemic starting material and a chiral ligand, one enantiomer will ionize via a matched pathway, whereas the mismatched enantiomer ionizes at a slower rate. Using

racemic conduritol tetracarboxylate, matched ionization of **27** and mismatched ionization of *ent-27* leads to the same intermediate (Scheme 7).<sup>30</sup> Conceptually, as long as the matched nucleophilic attack onto the pseudo-*meso* intermediate is faster than the mismatched, high enantioselectivity can be achieved. A matched nucleophilic addition of benzoate will yield monosubstituted adduct **31**. Because **27** is C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric, **31** also contains a leaving group capable of matched ionization. Ionization followed by matched nucleophilic addition yields disubstituted product **34** in excellent yield and >99% ee.

Mechanistically, for the DYKAT to succeed, the difference in energy between the matched and mismatched ionization pathways must not be insurmountable. Interestingly, when racemic conduritol tetraacetate is used, only a kinetic resolution results. However, when we switch to the more easily ionized tetra methyl carbonate derivative, a DYKAT is achieved in that both enantiomers of starting material are ionized, albeit at different rates, and are converted to the same product in 80% yield and 90% enantioselectivity. Moving to trichloroethyl carbonate, a better leaving group, further increases both yield and enantioselectivity.

Nucleophilic Addition to an Unsymmetrical  $\pi$ -Allylpalladium Complex (Class E). When ionization of racemic starting material does not form a pseudo-*meso*  $\pi$ -allyl intermediate, the resulting complexes are diastereomers. To obtain high enantioselectivity in the product, these diastereomers must interconvert *faster* than nucleophilic attack. If the rate of interconversion is fast, a Curtin–Hammett situation is set up in which the more reactive  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complex leads selectively to the product. Within this mechanistic class of reactions, cyclic and acyclic substrates are quite different. Acyclic sub-



Scheme 9. Optimizing DYKAT Parameters

	Boc	0 <sup>~</sup> 0 <sup>~</sup> 0 <sup>~</sup> 0	Condi MeO-{	tions OH 4 MeO O'' AO O'' AO HO O'' AO HO A	
entry	equiv 44	mol%Pd	mol% ligand	conditions	y/ee
1	0.5	5	15	0.1M DCM 10h rt	45%, 47%
2	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5M DCM 15% Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> rt 16h	45%, 90%
3	1	0.5	1.5	0.2M DCM 15% Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> rt 16h	80%, 24%
4	0.8	1	3	0.1M DCM, 30% TBAC rt 6h	67%, 82%
5	1	1	3	0.1M DCM, 30% TBAC 0°C 6h	74%, 84%

Scheme 10. DYKAT with Diene Monoepoxides



strates readily interconvert by the aforementioned  $\pi - \sigma - \pi$  mechanism. Cyclic systems, however, cannot undergo C–C bond rotation about the allyl unit, and therefore, a different mechanism is required.  $\gamma$ -Acyloxybutenolides offer a unique entry into a DYKAT situation.<sup>31</sup> The initially generated  $\eta^3$  diastereomeric complexes are in equilibrium with a  $\eta^1$  oxygen-bound palladium complex. Rotation and rehybridization results in a  $\pi$ -face exchange (Figure 9). The aromaticity of the intermediate furan serves as a driving force for this interconversion.

According to the model, **35** undergoes a matched ionization, whereas *ent-35* undergoes a slower mismatched ionization (Scheme 8). These diastereomers are in dynamic equilibrium via the mechanism outlined in Figure 9. The regioselectivity of addition is controlled by the stereoelec-

tronics of the butenolide substrate, wherein addition to the oxygen-stabilized terminus is favored. Therefore, the predicted matched nucleophilic addition gives the (*S*) stereochemistry, and this is what is observed experimentally (Scheme 8).

Reaction conditions were very important for obtaining good selectivity in this transformation. Using *p*-methoxyphenol as a nucleophile, the effect of various parameters was investigated (Scheme 9). Using 0.5 equiv of phenol, a kinetic resolution was observed. Using 1 equiv of phenol to effect a DYKAT, the rate of nucleophilic addition could be slowed by removing Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and lowering the reaction temperature and concentration. Furthermore, the rate of  $\pi-\sigma-\pi$  interconversion was increased by the addition of tetrabutylammonium chloride, affording **45** with 84% ee

Scheme 11. DYKAT with Oxygen Nucleophiles



at full conversion (entry 5). The enantioselectivity was further improved by increasing the steric bulk of the nucleophile (see Scheme 8).

Acyclic  $\pi$ -allyl complexes can also participate in DYKAT reactions. An example of this class of substrates is the

DYKAT of butadiene monoepoxide. Using phthalimide as the nucleophile,<sup>32</sup> with the (*R*,*R*)-ligand, (*S*)-**46** will ionize via a matched pathway to afford **49**, while (*R*)-**46** undergoes a mismatched ionization to give **47** (Scheme 10, R = H). The intermediates **47** and **49** can readily interconvert

Scheme 14. Studies with 2-Substituted  $\pi$ -Allyl Complexes



by a  $\pi - \sigma - \pi$  mechanism about the monosubstituted allyl terminus. Phthalimide is directed to react at the more substituted carbon by hydrogen bonding. Nucleophilic approach via **49** is favored and yields **51a** in 98% ee.

Using isoprene monoepoxide, a chiral *tertiary* stereocenter would be formed. While a challenging proposal, the experimental results show that the DYKAT can be quite successful. When the reaction is run at 55 °C, up to 87% ee is achieved and the sense of chirality matches that of **51a**.

The DYKAT of diene monoepoxides was also investigated using nonstabilized alcohols, which are usually poor substrates. Tethering the alcohol to the ionized alkoxide using triethylborane as a cocatalyst (Scheme 11) increased the reactivity to viable levels.<sup>33</sup> The arguments for selectivity parallel those for the phthalimide system.

Finally, carbon nucleophiles were investigated. Using racemic standard ligand, 1,2-addition product rac-58 is obtained in 64% yield (Scheme 12).34 In contrast, using the (S,S)-standard ligand, the regioselectivity decreases to 2:1, although the enantioselectivity remains high (93%). The increased regioselectivity with the racemic ligand is attributed to a kinetic discrimination in the initial ionization with racemic epoxide and racemic ligand. Both enantiomers of 46 can ionize via matched pathways forming enantiomers of 56. This intermediate favors a 1,2addition pathway. With enantiopure ligand and racemic epoxide, complexes 56 and 59 are both formed. While 56 favors the formation of the 1,2-addition product, 59 favors the formation of the 1,4-addition product via a matched addition. Adding tetrabutylammonium triphenyldifluorosilicate to increase the rate of  $\pi - \sigma - \pi$  interconversion Scheme 15. Synthesis of Callipeltoside A



consequently increased the amount of the 1,2-addition product, and a 56% yield of **58** was obtained in 93% ee. Switching to the more flexible (*S*,*S*)-stilbene ligand gives improved yield and selectivity, 70% yield and 96% ee.

Chirality at the Nucleophile. A second method for the enantioselective formation of chiral quaternary centers is to form a new stereocenter at a prochiral carbon of the incoming nucleophile. This proposal is challenging because the nucleophile approaches the complex on the opposite face of the chiral environment. For a successful reaction, the chiral ligands must influence the trajectory of the approach and impart a facial bias to the incoming nucleophile. This concept was proven possible because various enolates are allylated with good enantioselectivities.<sup>35</sup> Considering allylation of tetralone **61**, there are several approaches to the complex to consider (Scheme 13).<sup>36</sup> Assuming that the preferred transition state will place the sterically bulky aryl ring under the flap rather than under the allyl eliminates 63. Minimizing steric interactions between the substrate and ligand differentiates 64 from 65. When the nucleophile approaches as in 64, there is a steric interaction of both ring systems with the back ligand wall. In 65, these steric interactions are minimized. This analysis predicts the (S) stereochemistry as is determined experimentally.

### **Exceptions to the Model**

The model described shows excellent fidelity for predicting stereochemical outcomes of palladium-catalyzed reac-

tions; however, two substrates contained structural features that had unexpected effects. These substrates comprise the only known examples where the principles presented thus far are not sufficient to predict the outcome of the reaction.

The first example arose in a synthesis of (-)-galanthamine.<sup>37</sup> The reaction of **67** with a phenol lead to the (S) product (Scheme 14). This result is unexpected given that 70 follows the conventions outlined above and matched nucleophilic attack leads to substituted product 72 with (R) stereochemistry. Both substrates fall into the category of a DYKAT, where nucleophilic attack is enantiodetermining. Why then should substitution change the outcome of the reaction? The answer can be understood by realizing that coordination of the ester to palladium changes the cant of the  $\pi$ -allyl species. Normally, the 2 position of the  $\pi$ -allyl cants away from the palladium metal, but upon coordination, the 2 position then cants toward palladium. Because the stereoelectronics of an antiperiplanar addition must be preserved, the nucleophile approaches from the right rear quadrant of the  $\pi$ -allylpalladium complex. This analysis predicts the (S) stereochemistry as observed. Further corroboration of this model is derived from the fact that methyl-substituted 74 follows the model, indicating that the steric influence of a 2-substitutent does not perturb selectivity.<sup>38</sup> Furthermore, 77, which has similar electronic influences on the allyl but is geometrically constrained from coordinating to palladium, also follows the model.39

The only other known exception to the mnemonic occurred in a total synthesis of callipeltoside A (Scheme 15).40 Allyl carbonate 80 initially ionizes to give syn complex 82. In this complex, the bulky side chain experiences a steric interaction with the left wall. Fast  $\pi - \sigma - \pi$ isomerization about the secondary carbon forms anti complex 81 and relieves the steric interaction. Without chiral ligands, the syn complex is more stable (vide infra). However, thermodynamic stability of the intermediates is reversed for this substrate in the presence of chiral ligands. Observed product (S)-85 is therefore a result of a matched nucleophilic addition onto anti complex 83. Notably, the steric interaction of the side chain with the wall also slows the rate of  $\pi - \sigma - \pi$  interconversion about the monosubstituted terminus of 82 relative to isomerization about the disubstituted terminus. Rotation during rehybridization of palladium to the monosubstituted  $\sigma$ complex effectively moves the side chain closer to the left wall, whereas moving to the opposite terminus pulls the side chain slightly away from the left wall.

## Summary and Outlook

The working model presented is a powerful tool for predicting the stereochemical outcome of DPPBA-based Pd AAA reactions. When ionization is enantiodiscriminating, conditions that favor fast nucleophilic attack are best. Here, basic reaction media, soft nucleophiles, and good leaving groups are important. When the addition is enantiodiscriminating, conditions that favor slow nucleophilic attack and promote equilibration of the intermediates are best. Here, equilibration promoters such as chloride, low reaction pH, and poorer nucleophiles are important. In both classes, steric interactions of both the substrate and nucleophile with the chiral catalyst need to be considered. When regiochemistry is an issue, equilibration of intermediates is also essential. Additionally, if the mechanism of enantiodiscrimination is not initially known, test reactions on opposite extremes can be used to shed light on the situation.

Pd AAA is still an active area of research. This powerful method is currently used to construct a diverse array of structurally and biologically interesting natural products. Furthermore, the concepts outlined are inherent to designing AAAs for many metals and ligand systems.

We thank the National Science Foundation and National Institutes of Health for their generous support of our programs. The authors thank Dr. Hong Shen for helpful discussions in the initial stages of the preparation of this Account. M.R.M. thanks Bristol-Meyers Squib and Eli Lilly for predoctoral support. A.A. is supported by an NIH postdoctoral fellowship.

## References

- Trost, B. M.; Crawley, M. L. Asymmetric Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylations: Applications in Total Synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* 2003, 103, 2921–2944 and earlier citations therein.
- (2) Trost, B. M.; Toste, F. D. Regio- and Enantioselective Allylic Alkylation of an Unsymmetrical Substrate: A Working Model. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4545–4554. (b) Trost, B. M.; van Vranken, D. L.; Bingel, C. J. A Modular Approach for Ligand Design for Asymmetric Allylic Alkylations via Enantioselective

Palladium-Catalyzed Ionizations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, *114*, 9327–9343. (c) Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Stephen, S. C.; Fairlamb, I. J. S.; Martorell, A.; Dominguez, B.; Tomlin, P. M.; Murray, M.; Fernandez, J. M.; Jeffery, J. C.; Riis-Johannessen, T.; Guerziz, T. Coordination of the Trost Modular Ligand to Palladium Allyl Fragments: Oligomers, Monomers, and Memory Effects in Catalysis. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2004**, *76*, 589–601 and earlier citations therein.

- (3) Trost, B. M.; Weber, L. New Synthetic Reactions. Stereochemistry of Allylic Alkylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1611-1612. (b) Trost, B. M.; Verhoeven, T. R. New Synthetic Reactions. Catalytic vs. Stoichiometric Allylic Alkylation. Stereocontrolled Approach to Steroid Side Chain. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 630-632. (c) Hayashi, T.; Hagihara, T.; Konishi, M.; Kumada, M. Stereochemistry of Oxidative Addition of an Optically Active Allyl Acetate to a Palladium(0) Complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7767-7768. (d) Fiaud, J. C.; Legros, L. Y. New Method for the Classification of Nucleophiles in the Palladium-Catalyzed Substitution of Allylic Acetates. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 1907-1911 and earlier citations therein. (e) Stary, I.; Zajicek, J.; Kocovsky, P. Stereochemistry of the Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic Substitution: The syn-anti Dichotomy in the Formation of  $(\pi-Allyl)$  palladium Complexes and Their Equilibration. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 7229-7250.
- (4) Godleski, S. A. (π-Allyl)palladium Complexes of Norcamphene. Structure and Reactivity. *Organometallics* **1984**, *3*, 21–28.
- (5) Maitlis, P. M. *The Organic Chemistry of Palladium*; Academic Press: New York, 1971; Vol. 1, pp 199–207.
- (6) Burkhardt, U.; Baumann, M.; Togni, A. A Remarkable Anion Effect on the Enantioselectivity of the Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Amination Using Ferrocenyl Ligands. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1997**, *8*, 155–159. (b) Cantat, T.; Genin, E.; Giroud, C.; Meyer, G.; Jutand, A. Structural and Kinetic Effects of Chloride Ions in the Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic Substitutions. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, *687*, 365–376.
- (7) Faller, J. W.; Thompson, M. F.; Tully, M. T. Organometallic Conformational Equilibriums. XV. Preparation and Resolution of 1,2,3-η<sup>3</sup>-(1-Acetyl-2,3-dimethylallyl)[(S)-α-phenethylamine]chloropalladium. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1972**, 94, 2676–2679.
- (8) For an example where π-σ-π interconversion occurs at a highly substituted allyl terminus, see Mackenzie, P. B.; Whelan, J.; Bosnich, B. Asymmetric Synthesis. Mechanism of Asymmetric Catalytic Allylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 2046–2054.
- (9) Faller, J. W.; Thompson, M. F.; Mattina, M. J. Organometallic Conformational Equilibriums. X. Steric Factors and Their Mechanistic Implications in π-Allyl(amine)chloropalladium(II) Complexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2642–2653.
- (10) For a Pd AAA where nucleophilic attack occurs on a syn,anti complex, see Ramdeehul, S.; Dierkes, P.; Aguado, R.; Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Osborn, J. A. Mechanistic Implications of the Observation of Kinetic Resolution in a Palladium-Catalyzed Enantioselective Allylic Alkylation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3118–3121.
- (11) Helmchen, G.; Kudis, S.; Sennhenn, P.; Steinhagen, H. Enantioselective Catalysis with Complexes of Asymmetric P,N-Chelate Ligands. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1997**, *68*, 513–518.
- (12) Trost, B. M.; Dietsche, T. J.; Fullerton, T. J. New Synthetic Reactions. Chemospecificity of Allylic Alkylation. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 737–738.
- (13) For leading references, see (a) Akermark, B.; Vitagliano, A. Reactivity and syn-anti Isomerization of (η<sup>3</sup>-Geranyl)- and (η<sup>3</sup>-Neryl)palladium complexes. Evidence for Electronic Control of the Regiochemistry of Nucleophilic Addition. *Organometallics* 1985, 4, 1275-1283. (b) Helmchen, G.; Pfaltz, A. Phosphinooxazolines-A New Class of Versatile, Modular P,N-Ligands for Asymmetric Catalysis. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2000, *33*, 336-345. (c) Hayashi, T.; Kawatsura, M.; Uozumi, Y. Regio- and Enantio-selective Allylic Alkylation Catalysed by a Chiral Monophosphine-Palladium Complex. *Chem. Commun.* 1997, 561-562. (c) van Haaren, R. J.; Keeven, P. H.; van der Veen, L. A.; Goubitz, K.; van Strijdonck, G. P. F.; Oevering, H.; Reek, J. N. H.; Kamer, P. C.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. The Effect of Ligand Donor Atoms on the Regioselectivity on the Palladium Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2002, *327*, 108-115.
- (14) Andersson, P. G.; Harden, A.; Tanner, D.; Norrby, P. D. Studies of Allylic Substitution Catalyzed by a Palladium Complex of a C<sub>2</sub> Symmetrical Bis(aziridine) Preparation and NMR Spectroscopic Investigation of a Chiral π-Allyl Species. *Chem.*—*Eur. J.* **1995**, *1*, 12–16.
- (15) Pfaltz, A. Chiral Semicorrins and Related Nitrogen Heterocycles as Ligands in Asymmetric Catalysis. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 339–345.

- (16) Hayashi, T.; Yamamoto, A.; Ito, Y.; Hagihara, T. Modification of Optically Active Ferrocenylphosphine Ligands for Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 191–194.
- (17) Togni, A.; Breutel, C.; Schnyder, A.; Spindler, F.; Landert, H.; Tijani, A. A Novel Easily Accessible Chiral Ferrocenyldiphosphine for Highly Enantioselective Hydrogenation, Allylic Alkylation, and Hydroboration Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4062– 4066.
- (18) Evans, D. A.; Campos, K. R.; Tedrow, J. S.; Michael, F. E.; Gagne, M. R. Application of Chiral Mixed Phosphorus/Sulfur Ligands to Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic Substitutions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7905–7920. (b) Enders, D.; Peters, R.; Lochtman, R.; Raabe, G.; Runsink, J.; Bats, J. W. Asymmetric Synthesis of Novel Ferrocenyl Ligands with Planar and Central Chirality and Their Application to Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Substitutions. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 3399–3426. (c) Faller, J. W.; Wilt, J. C. Regioselectivity in the Palladium/(S)BINAP(S)-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Amination: Reaction Scope, Kinetics, and Stereodynamics. Organometallics 2005, 24, 5076–5083. (d) Mancheno, O. G.; Priego, J.; Cabrera, S.; Arrayas, R. G.; Llamas, T.; Carretero, J. C. 1-Phosphino-2-sulfenylferrocenes as Planar Chiral Ligands in Enantioselective Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic Substitutions. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 3679–3686.
- (19) Sprinz, J.; Kiefer, M.; Helmchen, G.; Reggelin, M.; Huttner, G.; Walter, O.; Zsolnai, L. Catalysis of Allylic Substitutions by Pd Complexes of Oxazolines Containing an Additional P, S, or Se Center. X-ray Crystal Structures and Solution Structures of Chiral *π*-Allyl Palladium Complexes of Phosphinoaryloxazolines. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 1523–1526. (b) Williams, J. M. J. The Ups and Downs of Allylpalladium Complexes in Catalysis. Synlett **1996**, 705–710. (c) See also ref 13b.
- (20) Murray, S.; Hartley, F. Coordination Chemistry of Thioethers, Selenoethers, and Telluroethers in Transition-Metal Complexes. Chem. Rev. 1981, 81, 365-414. (b) Pfaltz, A. Design of Chiral Ligands for Asymmetric Catalysis: From C<sub>2</sub> Symmetric Semicorrins and Bisoxazolines to Non-symmetric Phosphinooxazolines. Acta Chem. Scand. 1996, 50, 189-194. (c) Morimoto, T.; Tachibana, K.; Achiwa, K. Chiral Thioimidazoline Ligands for Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylation. Synlett 1997, 783-785. (d) Hayashi, T. Catalytic Asymmetric Reactions via  $\pi$ -Allylpalladium Complexes Coordinated with Chiral Monophosphine Ligands. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 576, 195-202. (e) Gibson, S. E.; Brahim, H. Asymmetric Catalysis Using Planar Chiral Arene Chromium Complexes. *Chem. Commun.* 2002, *21*, 2465–2473. (f) Au-Yeung, T. T. L.; Chan, S. S.; Chan, A. S. C. Partially Hydrogenated 1,1'-Binaphthyl as Ligand Scaffold in Metal-Catalyzed Asymmetric Synthesis. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 537-555. (g) Fonseca, M. H.; Koenig, B. Chiral Tetraaza Ligands in Asymmetric Catalysis: Recent Progress. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 1173-1185.
- (21) When ionization forms a *meso*-allyl unit, the enantioselectivity is determined at the nucleophilic addition stage.
- (22) Trost, B. M. Pd Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation (AAA). A Powerful Synthetic Tool. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2002**, *50*, 1–14.
- (23) Trost, B. M.; Breit, B.; Peukert, S.; Zambrano, J.; Ziller, J. W. A New Platform for Designing Ligands for Asymmetric Induction in Allylic Alkylations. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 2386– 2388.
- (24) Trost, B. M.; Dudash, J., Jr.; Dirat, O. Application of the AAA Reaction to the Synthesis of the Furanoside of C-2-epi-Hygromycin A: A Total Synthesis of C-2-epi-Hygromycin A. *Chem.-Eur. J.* 2002, *8*, 259–268.
- (25) Trost, B. M.; Patterson, D. E. Enhanced Enantioselectivity in the Desymmetrization of *meso*-Biscarbamates. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998, 63, 1339–1341.
- (26) Trost, B. M.; Lee, C. B. A New Strategy for the Synthesis of Sphingosine Analogues. Sphingofungin F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 6818–6819. (b) Trost, B. M.; Crawley, M. L.; Lee, C. B.

α-Acetoxysulfones as "Chiral Aldehyde" Equivalents. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 6120–6121. (c) Trost, B. M.; Lee, C. B. Geminal Dicarboxylates as Carbonyl Surrogates for Asymmetric Synthesis. Part II. Scope and Applications. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 3687–3696 and earlier citations therein.

- (27) Trost, B. M.; Machacek, M. R. An Efficient One-Pot Enantio- and Diastereoselective Synthesis of Heterocycles. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2002, *41*, 4693–4697.
- (28) Takahashi, K.; Miyake, A.; Hata, G. Palladium-Catalyzed Exchange of Allylic Groups of Ethers and Esters with Active Hydrogen Compounds. II. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1972**, *45*, 230–236. (b) Santon, S. A.; Felman, S. W.; Parkhurst, C. S.; Godleski, S. A. Alkoxides as Nucleophiles in (*π*-Allyl)palladium Chemistry. Synthetic and Mechanistic Studies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 1964–1969.
- (29) Trost, B. M.; Shen, H. C.; Dong, L.; Surivet, J. P. Unusual Effects in the Pd-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylations: Synthesis of Chiral Chromans. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9276–9277.
- (30) Trost, B. M.; Dudash, J., Jr.; Hembre, E. J. Asymmetric Induction of Conduritols via AAA Reactions: Synthesis of the Aminocyclohexitol of Hygromycin A. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2001, 7, 1619–1629 and earlier citations therein.
- (31) Trost, B. M.; Toste, F. D. Palladium Catalyzed Kinetic and Dynamic Kinetic Asymmetric Transformations of γ-Acyloxybutenolides. Enantioselective Total Synthesis of (+)-Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2a</sub>. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3090–3100 and earlier citations therein.
- (32) Trost, B. M.; Bunt, R. C.; Lemoine, R. C.; Calkins, T. L. Dynamic Kinetic Asymmetric Transformation of Diene Monoepoxides: A Practical Asymmetric Synthesis of Vinylglycinol, Vigabatrin, and Ethambutol. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 5968–5976.
- (33) Trost, B. M.; McEachern, E. J. Inorganic Carbonates as Nucleophiles for the Asymmetric Synthesis of Vinylglycidols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 8649–8650 and earlier citations therein.
  (b) Trost, B. M.; Tang, W. An Enantioselective Strategy to Macrocyclic Bisindolylmaleimides. An Efficient Formal Synthesis of LY 333531. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3409–3411. (c) Trost, B. M.; Tang, W.; Schulte, J. L. Asymmetric Synthesis of Quaternary Centers. Total Synthesis of (–)-Malyngolide. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4013–4015.
- (34) Trost, B. M.; Jiang, C. Atom Economic Asymmetric Creation of Quaternary Carbon: Regio- and Enantioselective Reactions of a Vinylepoxide with a Carbon Nucleophile. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 12907–12908.
- (35) Trost, B. M.; Radinov, R.; Grenzer, E. M. Asymmetric Alkylation of β-Ketoesters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7879–7880. (b) Trost, B. M.; Schroeder, G. M.; Kristesen, J. Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation of α-Aryl Ketones. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3492–3495. (c) Trost, B. M.; Schroeder, G. M. Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation of Barbituric Acid Derivatives: Enantioselective Syntheses of Cyclopentobarbital and Pentobarbital. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 1569–1573.
- (36) Trost, B. M.; Schroeder, G. M. Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Alkylation of Ketone Enolates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6759–6760.
- (37) Trost, B. M.; Toste, F. D. Enantioselective Total Synthesis of (-)-Galanthamine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11262–11263.
- (38) Trost, B. M.; Oslob, J. Unpublished results.
- (39) Trost, B. M.; Tsui, H. C. Unpublished results.
- (40) Trost, B. M.; Gunzner, J. L.; Dirat, O.; Rhee, Y. H. Callipeltoside A: Total Synthesis, Assignment of the Absolute and Relative Configuration, and Evaluation of Synthetic Analogues. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10396–10415.

AR040063C